UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,990	08/15/2006	Russell Keene	W-359-02	8878
	7590 04/23/200 logies Corporation		EXAMINER	
C/O WATERS CORPORATION 34 MAPLE STREET - LG MILFORD, MA 01757			FOX, JOHN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/597,990	KEENE, RUSSELL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Fox	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-137 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4 and 8-137 is/are  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-7 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 August 2006 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected in abeyance. See in in a required if the drawing(s) is objected in a required in	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

Claims 2-4 and 8-137 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on January 19, 2009.

It is noted that in the reply Applicant elected Group 10 drawn to a HPLC system represented by claims 8-10. The elected invention is understood to be Group 10 drawn to a HPLC system represented by claims 5-7 since these are HPLC claims and were listed as Group 10, and claims 8-10 are valve claims and were listed as part of Group 2, claims 8-17.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group 10 in the reply filed on January 19, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the different Groups are related and there would be no undue burden to Examine all 137 claims. This is not found persuasive because it fails to establish that the Groups are indistinct and because it is inaccurate. Even reading forty five pages of claims is an undue burden, much less Examining them.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant's election with traverse of Species A in the reply filed on January 19, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the species are not mutually exclusive. This is not found persuasive because only a rotary and a reciprocating embodiment are disclosed and one cannot be practiced at the same time as another.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,990 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

The drawings are objected to because they are inaccurate and contradictory.

Figures 1A-1C do not correspond in almost any respect to Figures 2A-2B or 3A-3D even though Applicant has stated they are all drawn to the same embodiment. In Figure 2B "5" and "6" label what appear to be threaded members but in Figure 2A "5" and "6" label two entirely different elements. In Figures 1A-1C it is difficult to understand how any sort of rotary motion of rotor 11 could produce the different positions shown, i.e. where is the axis of rotation in those Figures? In Figure 2B "101" labels a fitting and paragraph 44 discloses a high pressure pump 101.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 4

The specification is objected to for including errors such as noted above. The drawings and specification should be accurate and in accord with each other.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to enablingly disclose an operable valve and hence an operable HPLC system as recited in the claims. There is no enabling disclosure of what a pin isolation valve is or how it works. In Figures 3C and 3D pin 5 is on the axis of rotation 200 which will provide a permanent connection to the central fluid bore in rotor 61 and pin 6 is on the axis of rotation which will provide a permanently blanked connection. In Figure 2B fittings 101 and 102 are maintained in position and rotate with rotor 61 and clamp 94. There is no enabling disclosure of a valve or of valving in the structure disclosed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday from 10am-6pm (Hoteling Program).

Application/Control Number: 10/597,990 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Fox/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753